

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/898,772	07/02/2001	Philip Needleman	01-481-D	2863
75	90 04/01/2003			
Steven J. Sarussi			EXAMINER	
McDonnell Boe 32nd Floor	ehnen Hulbert & Berghoff		WANG, SHENGJUN	
300 S. Wacker Drive				
Chicago, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1617	11
			DATE MAILED: 04/01/2003	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/898,772	NEEDLEMAN, PHILIP		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Shengjun Wang	1617		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		correspondence address		
Period fo		/ 10 0ET TO EVOIDE & MONTH	(2) 50014		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 N				
2a)☐		s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	arrinor.			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		
J.S. Patent and Tra	adomady Office				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/898,772

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

1. Receipt of applicants' amendments and remarks submitted March 11, 2003 is acknowledged. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn. A new ground rejection is follow.

Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ducharme et al. (WO 96/11676, IDS) in view of Talley et al. (WO95/15316).
- 3. Ducharme et al. teach a method for treatment of neurodegenerative disease Alzheimer disease by administering selective COX-II inhibitors to patent in need. COX –II inhibitors in general are known to have anti-neurodegenerative effect, and are useful for treating neurodegenerative disease. Ducharme et al. further teach that benzenesulfonamide derivatives are known to be useful as selective COX-II inhibitors. See particularly, page 2.
- 4. Ducharme et al. do not teach expressly the employment of pyrazol-1-yl benzenesulfonamide compounds herein as the COX-II inhibitors.
- 5. However, Tally teaches that the compounds employed herein are known selective COX-II inhibitors. See, particularly, page 8, and the claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/898,772

Art Unit: 1617

Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the claimed the invention was made, to employ the compounds herein as COX-II inhibitors in Ducharme's method.

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the compounds herein as COX-II inhibitors in Ducharme's method because the compounds herein are known to have high selectivity of inhibiting COX-II (not COX-I), which is preferred in Ducharme's method.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shengjun Wang, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-4554. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, can be reached on (703) 305-1877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Examiner

SHENGJUN WANS

Shengjun Wang

March 26, 2003